

# Normal basis theorem

math center

The familiar normal basis theorem states that:

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $L|K$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . Then  $L \simeq K[G]$  as left  $G$ -modules.

In other words,  $L$  viewed as a representation is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $G$ . It then follows that

$$H^r(G, L) = H^r(G, K[G]) = H^r(G, \text{Ind}_{\{1\}}^G(K)) = 0,$$

for all  $r > 0$ , where the last equality is Shapiro's lemma.

## 1 Field theoretic proof

Let's only sketch this proof because it's somewhat long, although being quite elegant otherwise.

**Lemma 1.1** (Linear independence of characters). If  $K$  is an integral domain and  $G$  is a monoid, then the set of characters  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Mon}}(G, K^\times)$  is linear independent over  $K$ .

Of course, we only need the case of  $K$  a field and  $G$  a group.

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  be characters. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in K^n \setminus 0 : c_1\chi_1 + \dots + c_n\chi_n = 0\}$$

Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $n := |\{i : a_i \neq 0\}|$  is minimal. Because  $\chi(1) = 1$  for any character  $\chi$ ,  $n > 1$ . Thus we may assume WLOG that  $a_1, a_2 \neq 0$ . Let  $h \in G$  be a constant to be determined. Notice that

$$-a_1\chi_1(gh) = \sum_{i>1} a_i\chi_i(gh) = \sum_{i>1} a_i\chi_i(g)\chi_i(h),$$

and also

$$-a_1\chi_1(gh) = -a_1\chi_1(g)\chi_1(h) = \sum_{i>1} a_i\chi_i(g)\chi_1(h).$$

Pick  $h \in G$  such that  $\chi_1(h) \neq \chi_2(h)$ . On subtracting the two equations, we obtain a nonzero linear relation which clearly contradicts the minimality of  $(a_i)$ .  $\square$

We first prove the theorem for infinite  $K$ . This amounts to the following two facts.

- (I) Given that  $K$  is infinite, for any  $K$ -algebra  $A$  and  $L|K$ , the set  $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-Alg}}(A, L)$  is algebraically independent over  $L$ .
- (II) Let  $\{x_\sigma \in L : \sigma \in G\}$  be a set of elements indexed by  $G$ , then it is a basis if and only if  $\det(\tau(x_\sigma))_{\sigma, \tau} \neq 0$ .

Since there exists a basis indexed by  $G$ , the formal polynomial (modulo abuse of notation)  $\det(\tau(X_\sigma))_{\sigma,\tau} \neq 0$ . We may plug in  $X_\sigma = \sigma(\cdot)$ , and by (I), there must exist  $x \in L$  such that  $\det(\tau\sigma(x))_{\sigma,\tau} \neq 0$ . We apply (II) one more time to see that  $\{\sigma(x)\}$  is indeed a basis of  $L$ .

(II) follows from Lemma 1.1.

(I) is more tricky to prove. We need to show that  $P(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \neq 0$  for some nonzero polynomial  $P \in L[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . The set

$$\{(\chi_1(a), \dots, \chi_n(a)) : a \in A\}$$

generates the  $L$ -vector space  $L^n$  by Lemma 1.1, hence there must exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that the matrix  $(\chi_i(a_j))_{i,j}$  is invertible. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} K^n &\longrightarrow A \\ (k_1, \dots, k_n) &\longmapsto \sum_i k_i a_i \end{aligned}$$

composed with  $P(\chi_1(\cdot), \dots, \chi_n(\cdot))$ . This is non-zero as a formal polynomial because  $P \neq 0$ , thus it must also be non-zero as a function. Here we used that  $K$  is infinite. This concludes the proof of (I).

Now, suppose that  $L|K$  is a cyclic extension,  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . This clearly includes every finite  $K$ . In this scenario, we view  $L$  as a  $K[X]$ -module via  $X \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ , and the structure theorem factorizes  $L$  as the sum of invariant subspaces

$$L \simeq \frac{K[X]}{(P_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{K[X]}{(P_r)}, \quad P_1 | \dots | P_r.$$

Since  $L|K$  is finite,  $P_i \neq 0$ . By Lemma 1.1, we also can't have  $0 < \deg(P_i) < [L : K]$ . Thus the only possibility is  $L \simeq K[X]/(X^{[L:K]} - 1)$ . The basis  $\{1, X, \dots, X^{[L:K]-1}\}$  pulls back along this isomorphism as a normal basis.

For more details, see Thm 9.5.6 [here](#).

## 2 Module theoretic proof

The idea is to use the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $L|K$  be a finite extension of fields. Then the base change functor  $L \otimes - : K[G]\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow L[G]\text{-Mod}$  is conservative, i.e., if two  $K[G]$ -modules  $V, W$  satisfy  $L \otimes V \simeq L \otimes W$ , then  $V \simeq W$ . Here by “module” we always mean modules that are finite-dimensional over  $K$ .

The assumption that  $L|K$  is finite can be dropped in this result; see end of this note.

*Proof.* Recall the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem: If  $M$  is a  $R$ -module of finite length, then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism and reordering) decomposition of  $M$  into indecomposable modules:

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i.$$

All modules have finite length under the assumption we noted.

If  $L \otimes V \simeq L \otimes W$  as  $L[G]$ -modules, they must be isomorphic as  $K[G]$ -modules as well. The result then follows from

$$L \otimes V \simeq V^{\oplus [L:K]}, \quad \text{in } K[G]\text{-Mod},$$

and comparing the indecomposable components of both sides.  $\square$

This easy fact from representation theory now allows us to give a proof without worrying about the finiteness of  $K$ . More specifically, we will establish the following isomorphisms of *right*  $K[G]$ -modules<sup>1</sup>

$$L \otimes_K \textcolor{red}{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in G} L_{\sigma} \xleftarrow{\sim} L \otimes \textcolor{red}{K[G]}$$

where the actions are given by  $l \cdot \tau := \tau^{-1}(l)$ ,  $e_{\sigma} \cdot \tau := e_{\sigma\tau}$  and the right multiplication of  $K[G]$ , respectively.

## 2.1 The first isomorphism

This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L \otimes_K L &\xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in G} L_{\sigma} \\ l \otimes m &\longmapsto (l\sigma(m))_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, this defines a homomorphism of right  $G$ -modules, so it suffices to prove this is a bijection. There are two ways to see this. The first idea is to use Lemma 1.1. Let  $b_1, \dots, b_d$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ , then any element of  $L \otimes_K L$  can be put in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^d l_i \otimes b_i \longmapsto \left( \sum_{i=1}^d l_i \sigma(b_i) \right)_{\sigma}$$

Thus the matrix of this homomorphism is  $(\sigma(b_i))_{\sigma,i}$ , which is invertible precisely by the linear independence of characters. Hence the map is an isomorphism.

A completely different approach is given by the primitive element theorem. Let

$$L = K(\alpha) \simeq K[X]/(P), \quad \text{basis: } \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots\}.$$

Then

$$L \otimes_K L \simeq L \otimes K[X]/(P) = L[X]/(P) \xrightarrow[\text{CRT}]{\sim} \prod_{\sigma} L_{\sigma}.$$

Since  $P(X) = \prod_{\sigma} (X - \sigma(\alpha))$ , we confirm this defines the same map as above.

**Remark 2.2.** I think it makes some sense to call this isomorphism “the normal basis theorem”, which is itself just primitive element thm + CRT. Galois!

**Remark 2.3.** If we write the RHS as  $\text{Map}(G, L)$ , then this isomorphism generalizes to infinite  $L|K$ , with an small extra smoothness condition.

---

<sup>1</sup>They are of course also (left)  $L$ -linear, but we don't need it, as we saw in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

## 2.2 The second isomorphism

This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L \otimes K[G] &\xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in G} L_\sigma \\ l \otimes \sigma &\longmapsto le_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that this map is natural, so there are a ton of ways to see it. For example, both sides are the induced module of the  $K[G^{\text{op}}]$ -module  $L$  equipped with a trivial right  $G$ -action.

## 2.3 QED

We could work with a left  $G$ -action, but it seems natural to define it as a right action. Perhaps the important thing is that, in either case, we need to define the action as  $e_\sigma \mapsto e_{\sigma\tau^{-1}}$ .

## The End

As we noted, Proposition 2.1 holds for any field extension  $L|K$ , not just the finite ones. To see this, note that giving an isomorphism  $L \otimes V \simeq L \otimes W$  is equivalent to giving two  $n \times n$  ( $n := \dim V = \dim W$ ) matrices  $A, B$  with entries in  $L$ , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= BA = 1_{n \times n}, \\ A\phi_V(g) &= \phi_W(g)A, \quad B\phi_W(g) = \phi_V(g)B \quad \forall g \in G. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\phi(\cdot)$  denotes the representations  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\cdot) \simeq \text{Mat}_n(K)$ . Hence the  $2n^2$  entries of  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalently solutions of a family of polynomial equations with coefficients in  $K$ . Now apply

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\{P_i\}$  be a (possibly infinite) family of polynomials in  $K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ . If they have a common zero over some field extension  $L|K$ , then they have a common zero over some finite extension of  $K$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the weak Nullstellensatz. Since the  $P_i$  can not generate the ideal (1), they must have a zero over  $\overline{K}$  whose coordinates lies in an algebraic extension in  $n$  generators.  $\square$

Compiled on 2025/11/06.

[Home page](#)